Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/942,135	JANSSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth Tang	2195
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Kenneth Tang.	(3)	
(2) Randal Ayers (Reg. No. 40,493).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 February 2006</u>	Time: <u>10am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 1, 8, and 13		
Prior art documents discussed:  NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend the claims according to the Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
•		
MAN JOHNER		
Kennoth Sam		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)